AMEND Applicant(s): Jac	nall En	itity)		Docket No. 51764/3					
Application No. 10/616,001	Filing Date July 9, 2003	Examiner F. Harold	d	Customer N 32642	lo.	Group Art Uni 2681	t Confirmation No.		
Invention: ECHO CANCELLATION FILTER									
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:  Transmitted herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application.									
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27  The fee has been calculated and is transmitted as shown below.									
		CLAIMS AS AM	ENDED	)					
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST # PREV. PAID FOR		ER EXTRA S PRESENT		RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE		
TOTAL CLAIMS	23 -	23 =			x	\$25.00	\$0.00		
INDEP. CLAIMS	3 -	3 =		0	x	\$100.00	\$0.00		
Multiple Dependent Claims (check if applicable)							\$0.00		
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AM						OMENT	\$0.00		
<ul> <li>No additional fee is required for amendment.</li> <li>□ Please charge Deposit Account No. in the amount of</li> <li>□ A check in the amount of to cover the filing fee is enclosed.</li> <li>☑ The Director is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-2375</li> <li>☑ Any additional filing fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.16.</li> <li>☑ Any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR 1.17.</li> <li>□ Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached.</li> <li>WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.</li> </ul>									
John R. Thompson Registration No. 4 STOEL RIVES LI 201 South Main S Salt Lake City, UT Telephone: 801-57 Facsimile: 801-57	10,842 LP treet, Suite 1100 F 84111 178-6994		United mail in Box 145	States Postal an envelope ac 50, Alexandria, 2 2 04 (Date)  Signature	Senddre VA	vice with sufficier essed to "Commis 22313-1450" [37	Vespondence Vespondence Verson		
Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence									

CERTIFICATE OF	Docket No.								
Applicant(s): Jacob H	51764/3								
Application No.	Filing Date	EC 2 7 2004 Examiner	Customer No.	Group Art Unit					
10/616,001	July 9, 2003	Jesserey F. Harold	32642	2681					
Invention: ECHO CA	NCELLATION FILTER	TRADEMAKE							
I hereby certify that this Response (including the items listed below)  (Identify type of correspondence)  is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope									
		D. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-14							
	John R. Thompson								
	(Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence)								
	Oal R-the								
	(Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence)								
		U	/						
	Note: Each pap	per must have its own certificate of mailing.							
l l	mittal Letter ficate of Mailing by First (	Class Mail							
				ļ					
<b> </b>				i i					



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Jacob H. Gunther

Confirmation No. 1037

Application No. 10/616,001

Customer No. 32642

Filed: July 9, 2003

For: ECHO CANCELLATION FILTER

Group Art Unit: 2681

Examiner: Jefferey F. Harold

Date: December 22, 2004

**RESPONSE** 

Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This paper is in response to the September 28, 2004 Office Action. The Applicant wishes to express appreciation to the Examiner for the interview conducted on December 20, 2004.

Claims 1-4, 11-14, and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by United States Patent No. 6,151,397 to Jackson, Jr. II ("Jackson"). Jackson discloses a method and system for suppressing background noises in a communication environment. Jackson is contemplated for use in suppressing noises such as engine, exhaust system, tires etc. Col. 1, lines 21-32. Jackson cancels stationary and dynamic local noise signals, not echo from a far-end signal. Col. 2, lines 51-54. In canceling local noise, Jackson

teaches that local noise signals are converted to 180 degrees out-of-phase noise canceling signals. The noise canceling signals are introduced into the communication environment to suppress the local noise signals. Col. 3, lines 24-37. The introduced noise canceling signals improve the user's ability to hear the incoming call. Col. 6, lines 40-50. However, Jackson does not cancel an echo from a far-end signal and instead passes echoes.

The present invention performs echo cancellation generated by a far-end signal. All near-end signals are collectively captured by a microphone, including background noises. Thus, the present invention does not suppress local noise and instead passes local noise. A far-end signal is electronically filtered to generate an echo estimate. The received microphone signal includes the user's voice, the echo, and all local background noises. The echo estimate is electronically subtracted from the microphone signal to eliminate the echo. Nevertheless, background noises remain as noise suppression is a separate issue not addressed by the present invention.

Jackson and the present invention seek to solve separate problems and, in so doing, require different techniques and hardware. For example, in order to function, Jackson requires as many receivers as there are sources. Col. 7, lines 10-14. In contrast, the present invention requires only one microphone. As an additional example, Jackson discloses that the noise suppression occurs in the communication environment prior to the user's ear. In the present invention, the echo cancellation occurs electronically by a processor implementing an algorithm.

Independent claims 1, 11, and 18 recite reducing echoes resulting from a far-end signal. This limitation is not found in Jackson. The independent claims further recite filtering the far-end signal to provide an echo estimate. This limitation is also not found in Jackson, as

Jackson does not filter or otherwise analyze a far-end signal. In fact, Jackson never mentions

a far-end signal. In order to anticipate, "every element of the claimed invention must be

identically shown in a single reference." In re Bond, 910 F.2d 831, 15 USPQ 2d 1566 (Fed.

Cir. 1990). Claim limitations are not found in the cited prior art references, and the Applicant

respectfully submits that independent 1, 11, and 18 claims represent patentable subject matter.

As the remaining claims depend from their respective independent claim and necessarily

include all limitations of the independent claim, they likewise represent patentable subject

matter.

If there are any remaining issues of the pending claims that may be clarified by

telephone, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

STOEL RIVES LLP

One Utah Center Suite 1100

201 S Main Street

Salt Lake City, UT 84111-4904

Telephone: (801) 578-6994

Facsimile: (801) 578-6999 Attorney Docket No. 51764.3